Case 1:07-cv-07187-DAB	Document 8	Filed 12/18/20	007	Page 1 of 7
614-07/WDM/WJP				·
Freehill, Hogan & Mahar, LLI Attorneys for Third Party Defo A.P. MOLLER - MAERSK A 80 Pine Street New York, New York 10005- William J. Pallas (WP6201) 212 425 1900 (Tel) 212 425 1901 (Fax)	endant /S 1759			
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF I				
BEST FOODS, INC.				
Plaintiff	,	•	07 Civ	7. 7187(DAB)
-against- M/V MAERSK GEORGIA, her engines, Boilers, etc.,			THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT MAERSK'S ANSWER TO PEGASUS MARITIME INC.'S THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT	
and against-				
PEGASUS MARITIME, INC	••			
Defenda				
PEGASUS MARITIME,	X			
Defenda	unt/Third Party Pl	aintiff,		
-against-				
MAERSK, INC.,				
Third Pa	rty Defendant.			
Third Party Defendant		·		
Inc." hereinafter referred to as	s "APM" or "Thir	d Party Defendan	t´´) by	its attorneys, FREEHILI

NYDOCS1/294960.1

HOGAN & MAHAR, LLP, responding to the Third Party Complaint of Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff, PEGASUS MARITIME INC., alleges upon information and belief as follows:

- 1. Third Party Defendant admits that to the extent Plaintiff has alleged damages arising out of a contract of carriage of goods by sea, that this is a maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures, and within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of this Court, but except as so admitted denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Third Party Complaint.
- 2. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief with respect to the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Third Party Complaint.
- 3. Admits that Maersk, Inc. maintains a place of business at Giralda Farms, Madison, New Jersey, but except as so specifically admitted, denies the remaining allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of the Third Party Complaint.
 - 4. Admits that Plaintiff has filed suit against PEGASUS.
 - 5. Denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Third Party Complaint.
 - 6. Denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 of the Third Party Complaint.
 - 7. Denies the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of the Third Party Complaint.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. The Verified Complaint fails to state a claim against this Answering Defendant upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. The shipment in question was received, carried, discharged and/or delivered at the port of destination subject to the terms, conditions, exceptions and limitations of the provisions of the United States Carriage of Goods by Sea Act, Title 46 U.S.C. Section 1300 *et seq.*, the Harter Act, Title 46 U.S.C. Section 190 *et seq.*, and/or other legislation pertinent to this carriage. Any loss or damage alleged to have been suffered by said shipment or to the shipper or consignee was due to a cause or causes for which neither the carrier nor the vessel is liable by virtue of the provisions of aforesaid Carriage of Goods by Sea Act and/or Harter Act, and/or other pertinent legislation.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. The shipment described in the Complaint was received, loaded, carried, discharged and delivered subject to the terms, conditions, exceptions and limitations of certain dock receipts, bills of lading, tariffs and/or contracts of affreightment, issued for carriage of the shipment, and by which the shipper, consignee and holders of said bills of lading agreed to be and are bound. Any damage to the goods, which is denied, was due to a cause or causes for which this Answering Defendant is not liable by virtue of said dock receipts, bills of lading, tariffs and/or contracts of affreightment.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

11. Any loss or damage to the goods, as alleged in the Complaint, and which is denied, arose or resulted from the condition of the goods when delivered to Defendants, and/or from inherent defect, quality or vice of the goods, or insufficiency of packaging or marks or by act or omission of the shippers, or owners of the goods, their agents or representatives, or other third parties and not this Answering Defendant.

NYDOCS1/294960.1

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12. If any shortage and/or damage was sustained by the shipment referred to in the Complaint, which is denied, such shortage and/or damage was not caused by or contributed to by these Answering Defendants and such shortage and/or damage was not sustained by the shipment while in the care, custody or control of this Answering Defendant.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. If any shortage and/or damage was sustained by the shipment referred to in the Complaint, which is denied, such shortage and/or damage was caused by or contributed to by the Plaintiff or others acting on its behalf.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. The Plaintiff is not the real party in interest to this suit.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

15. Plaintiff failed to give timely notice of this claim. The claim is time barred by the applicable statute of limitations and/or dock receipts, bills of lading, tariffs and/or contracts of affreightment.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16. Any liability of this Answering Defendant, which is denied, is limited in amount by the terms and provisions of the aforementioned dock receipts, bills of lading, tariffs, contracts of carriage, and Carriage of Goods by Sea Act and/or the Harter Act, or other governing legislation. Plaintiff failed to minimize or mitigate the damages asserted in the Verified Complaint.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. The Court lacks *in personam* jurisdiction over this Answering Defendant. Insufficient and/or improper service of process.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. MAERSK INC. is an agent for the carrier and as such cannot be held responsible for any claims for loss or damage relating to the shipment.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

19. Due diligence was exercised on the part of the carrier to make the vessel and its appurtenances seaworthy, and to make all other parts of the vessel in which goods are carried, fit and safe for their reception, carriage and preservation of said shipment.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20. This litigation must be dismissed or stayed pursuant to the forum selection and/or arbitration clause incorporated in the applicable dock receipt(s), bill(s) of lading, tariff(s), charter(s) and/or contract(s) of affreightment.

NYDOCS1/294960.1

WHEREFORE, Third Party Defendant A.P. MOLLER-MAERSK A/S, prays that a decree be entered dismissing the Third Party Complaint herein and that it be awarded all costs, expenses and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with the defense of this action, and such other and different relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
December 18, 2007.

FREEHILL, HOGAN & MAHAR LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

A.P. MOLLER-MAERSK A/S

By

William J. Pallas (WP 6201)

80 Pine Street

New York, New York 10005

212 425 1900

TO: CICHANOWICZ, CALLAN KEANE,
VENGROW & TEXTOR, LLP
Attorneys for PEGASUS MARITIME, INC.
61 Broadway, suite 3000
New York, New York 10006
Attention: Stephen H, Vengrow, Esq.
212 344 7042

McDERMOTT & RADZIK, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Wall Street Plaza 88 Pine Street New York, New York 10005 Attention: William Robert O'Connor, III, Esq. 212 376 6400 STATE OF NEW YORK

: ss.:

COUNTY OF NEW YORK:

I, GLORIA J. REGIS-HOSEIN, being duly sworn say:

I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside at 80 Pine Street, New York, New York 10005. On December 18, 2007 I served the within ANSWER TO VERIFIED COMPLAINT and THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT MAERSK'S ANSWER TO PEGASUS MARITIME INC.'S THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT, upon

CICHANOWICZ, CALLAN KEANE, VENGROW & TEXTOR, LLP Attorneys for PEGASUS MARITIME, INC. 61 Broadway, suite 3000 New York, New York 10006 Attention: Stephen H, Vengrow, Esq.

McDermott & Radzik, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Wall Street Plaza
88 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005
Attention: William Robert O'Connor, III, Esq.

at the above address(es) designated by said attorney(s) for that purpose by depositing a true copy of same enclosed in a post-paid properly addressed wrapper, in a post office official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

GLORIA J. REGIS-HOSEIN

Sworn to before me on the 18th day of December, 2007.

Notary Public MARTHA L. BROWN /295 Notary Public, State of New York

No. 01 BR6067399

Qualified in Kings County

Certificate Filed in New York County

Commission Expires December 10, 2009